blic Works (1903) Department.

G.O., No. 72 I

DATED 26th Jany. 1903.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Compensation for loss of crops ~~damaged~~ by Periyar Water.

The estimate of Rs 1077 for —, in the Periyakulam Taluk, sanctioned.

F. E. I / Dr.

Registered 26/1/03

P. W. 25.
2,000—2-2-02.

Indexed 30/1

Sqm 24/1/03

3,000—21-1-01.

Public Works (1902) Department.

CURRENT No. ~~45 Md~~ 190

From Col. O. V. Boddy R.E.
[Name]

[Designation] Supg Engineer – VI Circle

[Station] Trichinopoly

No. 383 Md

Dated 20 March 1902.

Enclosures {Plans / Others 2} *Total* 2

Spare copies

Received 1902

Registered ~~22-3~~ 10 – 1 – 03 1902.

SUBJECT.

Compensation for loss of crop by Periyar water during Faslis 1305 & 1306 in Keelagudalur village – Submitting for sanction an est. of Rs 1077 for ——

BACK PAPERS.

Papers
MW
22/3/02

FURTHER PAPERS.

20/3/02

To

The Chief Engineer / Secretary to Government Public Works Department.

Sir,

No. 383 Mtd.

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

6TH CIRCLE,

TRICHINOPOLY, 20 March 1902.

From

Col. O. V. Boddy, RE

Superintending Engineer,

6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,

for Irrigation

SIR,

2 - ENCLOSURES.

I have the honor to forward herewith for sanction an estimate amounting to Rs 1077 for compensation for crops damaged by Periyar water in Keelagudalore village, Periakulam Taluq, during Faslies 1305-1306.

2. The grant of this compensation has been ordered to be given effect to in G. O. No. 4570 mis. dated 21st November 1898, copy of which is forwarded.

Flag B

3. The work has been classified under 42 Irrigation, and sanction is requested under para 1 of G. O. No. 4049. dated 5th May 1899.

Flag P

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servant

O. V. Boddy Col, RE
Supdg Engineer, 6th Circle

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue) No. 3599 (Misc), dated …
1st day of December 1898.

[illegible]

Read letter from the Collector of Madras
R. Dis. No. 344, Board read Government,
dated 26th July 1898 and the following re-
:ference thereon, No. 926 dated 19 August 189…

CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION.
22 MAR. 1902
No. [illegible]

In its order No. 1373 Rev., Revenue
dated 15th March 1898 Government sanc-
:tioned the proposals submitted by the
Collector of Madras for the payment
of compensation for damage caused by
Cooum(?) water. On receipt of an office
note from the Chief Engineer for Irrigation
stating that it was necessary to clearly
limit the assignment of a sum against
the project to losses continuing from(?)
to be due to it as that the claims for
loss up to 1893 when the Chamak(?) river
were highly unprofitable, Government
in order No. 1049 Rev., Revenue dated 13
April 1898 called for the Board's remarks
on the Chief Engineer's note. It is clear(?)
that in the meantime the proposals …

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue) No. 3599 Mis, dated 1st day of December 1898.

Read letter from the Collector of Madura R. M. C. No. 344, Board and Government, dated 26th July 1898, and the following reference thereon, No. 926 dated 19th August 1898:

In its order No. 1375 Mis:, Revenue dated 18th March 1898 Government sanctioned the proposals submitted by the Collector of Madura for the payment of Compensation for damages caused by the Periyar water. On receipt of an office note from the Chief Engineer for Irrigation stating that it was necessary strictly to limit the admission of claims against the project to losses conclusively proved to be due to it and that all claims for loss by floods below the Uttamah anicut were highly disputable, Government in its order No. 1648 Mis:, Revenue dated 15th April 1898 called for the Board's remarks on the Chief Engineer's note and directed that in the meantime the proposals already

Reg U

approve

approved should be held in abeyance.

2. The letter read above is the report by the Collector of Madura submitted with reference to the G.O. last referred to. He says that the only village below the Uttamah anicut in which compensation for damage has been claimed is Kamaya-goundanpatti, and that Mr. Grimley, the acting Sub Collector, was satisfied, after personal inspection, that the damage in this village had been caused by Periyar water.

Compensation proposed for Crops destroyed in Fasli 1306	51-7-0
Do for lands left waste and for wet lands cultivated with dry crop	53-8-0

Mr. Grimley appears to have reported that the river bed below the Uttamah anicut is not sufficiently wide to carry the additional supply of Periyar water and the Collector concurs in his opinion that the damage was due to Periyar water on the ground that no similar loss has been caused since 1884 when there were abnormally heavy floods in the Suruli river. The Board sees no reason to differ from the Collector and accordingly re-commends the grant of the compensation already sanctioned.

Read

Read also the following:-

Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read the following paper:-

Again G. O. No. 1375/Mis. dated 18th March 1898

" G. O. No. 1648/Mis. dated 15th April 1898

Read also Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, Ref. No. 926 dated 19th August 1898.

Order thereon No. 4570/Mis. dated 21st November 189[illegible]

In the circumstances reported in the last of the papers read above, the grant of compensation already sanctioned may be given effect to.

2. The Board should, however, instruct the Collector to subject all claims for compensations in similar cases to the most careful scrutiny. Both the fact of flooding and that of consequent injury to the crops or land should be fully and clearly established.

(True Extract)

(Sd) G. S. Forbes
Secretary to Govt.

(True copy)

[illegible]
20/3/02

20/3/02 O. V. [illegible] Col. R.E.
[illegible] Engineer, [illegible] Circle

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.
22 MAR 1902
No. 45 Md

1

C. No 45 M.A.

D.E.I. Compensation for damages by the overflow of Periyar water.

Flag U

In G.O., No.1375 Revenue dated 18-3-98 the --- Government approved of the proposals of the Collector of Madura for the payment of a sum of Rs 1076-7-0 as compensation for loss of crops and other damages caused by the overflow of Periyar water when it was first sent down in 1895 through the Vyravanar and the Suruliyar streams into the Vaigai. On a representation by this office that the admission of claims for compensation should be strictly limited to what could be conclusively proved to be due to the above cause and that all claims for loss by flooding below the Uttamut Anicut being highly disputable should be -- referred to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation for opinion, the Govt. in G.O., No.1648 Mis.Rev., dated 15-4-98 called for a report from the Board of Revenue and directed that the proposals already -- approved be in the meantime held in abeyance.

Flag U

Flag W

With B.P. No.986 dated 19-8-98 the Board --- submitted a letter from the Collector of Madura in which he reported that in respect of the only --- village below the Uttamut Anicut, in which a claim was made, the Sub Collector was satisfied after --- personal inspection that the damage was due to --- Periyar water. The Government thereon directed in G.O., 4570 Mis. Rev., dated 21-11-98 that the sanction already accorded be given effect to. The Board was at the same time requested to instruct the Collector to subject all claims for compensation to the most careful scrutiny. The above order was communicated in G.O., No.8 I, dated 3-1-99 to the Supg: Engineer, 6th Circle, with the remark that the expenditure incurred in the payment of compensation should be debited to 42 Major Works, Working Expenses, Periyar

Flag W

Flag W

Project.

The Supg. Engineer now submits an estimate of Rs 1077 for the charge and requests sanction thereto under para 1 of G.O., No.404 I, dated 5-5-99 as the expenditure is unremunerative and the -- amount involved exceeds Rs 1,000.

Flag P

For orders whether the estimate may be submitted for the sanction of Government.

[initials]
2.4.02

KSL
2/4/02

I presume the item has been paid so estimate must be sanctioned. I can see nothing in the paper to show that the damage was done by Periyar water.

[signature]
2/4/02

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
IRRIGATION BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ again the following

not[illegible]

G. O. P.W.D. Irrigation Branch, d/ 3rd January 1899, No. 8 I.

Read

From the Supdg: Engr, VI Circle, d/ 26 March 1902, No. 383 M.D.

Order thereon No. 72 I, d/ 26th January 19[illegible]

The following Estimate is sanctioned:-

Imperial

42. Major Works (Working Expenses)

Periyar Project

Extensions and Improvements

Vide G.O. 413 I / 7.4.03 '3' Compensation ~~I Head Works~~

~~B. Land~~

Compensation for loss of crop damaged by Periyar. Rs 10[illegible]

2. This sanction is registered as No. 205 I of 1902-03.

To The Chief Engineer for Irrigation ✓
" Supdg Engineer VI Circle ✓
" Examiner of P.W. Acct. ✓

DESPATCHED 27 JAN. 1903

Issue

[illegible] 2/1/03

[illegible] 6/3/03

D.I.

[illegible] 20/12/02

[illegible] 16.1.03

E. I. Form No. 1 (a) -400-12-7-02.